

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/856,845

Applicant(s)

SAITO ET AL.

Examiner

Katarzyna Wyrozebski

Art Unit

1714

## All Participants:

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski.

(2) Mr Sanford Astor.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2004

Time: 1528

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*pending claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative with request for examiners amendment that would cancel nonelected claims and insert GPC limitation to claim 15. Although the applicants concurred, the examiner omitted in independent claims 11 and 26, which would also require incorporation of GPC limitation. Since the response from the applicants will not arrive in time (before an office action is due) the examiner and applicants representative agreed to issuance of an office action..